## 1,2,5,6-Tetrazocines from Nitrile Imines and tert-Butyl Isocyanide
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#### Abstract

Butyl isocyanide (2) reacts with acceptor-substituted nitrile imines to give derivatives of tetrahydro-1,2,5,6tetrazocines 3 along with substituted 5-hydrazonoyl-1,2,4-triazoles 4 . Replacement of $\mathbf{2}$ with aryl or sec-alkyl isocyanides


leads to substituted $\alpha$-hydrazonoamides ( $\mathbf{6 B}$ ) rather than to analogues of $\mathbf{3}$; removal of the acceptor group in $\mathbf{1}$ is likewise detrimental. - The structure of $\mathbf{3}$ has been established by means of an X-ray diffraction analysis of $\mathbf{3 d}$.

The reaction of nitrile imines with isocyanides - originally viewed as a route to four-membered rings [1] - proved in practice to be rather a source of $1,2,3$ - or 1,2,4-triazolium salts and pyrazoles [2]. On extending our experiments to Cacyl nitrile imines we encountered a further product that was unexpected: when the hydrazonoyl chlorides $\mathbf{1}$ were treated with tert-butyl isocyanide (2) and triethylamine in a manner similar to ref. [2b], deep yellow crystals could be separated whose EI mass spectra disclosed that $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ had reacted in a 2:2 molar ratio. Since the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra exhibited only one RCO, Ar and tert-butyl group, a symmetrical structure such as $\mathbf{3}$ - formally a dimer of the linear 1:1 adduct of





| $\mathbf{1 , 3 - 6 A}$ | R | Ar | $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}$ | 6B |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{a}$ | Me | Ph |  |  |
| $\mathbf{b}$ | OEt | Ph |  |  |
| $\mathbf{c}$ | Me | $4-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $4-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | a |
| $\mathbf{d}$ | Me | $4-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $i-\mathrm{Pr}$ | b |
| $\mathbf{e}$ | OEt | $4-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{f}$ | Me | $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{c}^{2} \mathrm{C}_{6}$ | c |
|  | OEt | $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ |  |  |

Scheme 1 Title reaction including structures of valence isomer $\mathbf{3}^{\prime}$, degradation product 5 , and side products $\mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{6}$
nitrile imine and $\mathbf{2}$ - was envisaged. Yet, bearing in mind that compounds claimed as 1,2,5,6-tetrazocines [3] were later shown to be (mesoionic, $10 \pi$ aromatic) 1,3a,4,6a-tetraazapentalenes [4], we also considered the isomeric structure $\mathbf{3}^{\prime}$. According to NMR, the methylene protons of the OEt ligand of the derivatives $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{f}$ are diastereotopic. This observation would be consistent with $\mathbf{3}$ in the case of slow ring inversion, but it would likewise account for $\mathbf{3}^{\prime}$ in the case of restricted rotation of the $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ group. Action of boiling hydrochloric acid on the derivative $\mathbf{c}$ [5] produced the nitrile $5 \mathbf{c}$, which again might point to either system. High resolution technique revealed that $\mathbf{5 c}$ also occurred as a fragment in the mass spectrum of the above product [6], and 5a,b,d-f were observed accordingly. We therefore performed an X-ray analysis of the derivative d, this eventually established structure $\mathbf{3}$ (see Fig. 1).

Although the molecule possesses no crystallographic symmetry, the central eight-membered ring displays twofold symmetry to a good approximation, as can be seen from the torsion angle sequence $-99,52,20,9,-98,51,20,9^{\circ}$ (starting


Fig. 1 Structure of compound 3d in the crystal. Ellipsoids represent $50 \%$ probability levels. Hydrogen radii are arbitrary
about $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ and moving clockwise in Fig. 1). The positions of the double bonds are unambiguously determined by the bond lengths $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 2$ and $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{N} 41.298(2), \mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{N} 51.256(2)$, C4-N6 1.261(2) Å. Unusually wide $s p^{2}$ angles are observed as follows: C1-C2-N5 131.0(2), C3-C4-N6 130.8(2), C4$\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{N} 4129.7(2), \mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 2129.1(2)^{\circ}$.

Variable temperature ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{3 d}$ were recorded in order to determine the activation barrier to inversion of the tetrazocine ring. From ambient temperature to the limiting temperature of $+120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the complex $A B X_{3}$ pattern of the $\mathrm{OCH}_{2}$ protons gradually changed into a quartet with a small additional splitting. No signal broadening occurred at intermediate temperatures. The spectra could be simulated by assuming a static system with the chemical shift difference of the methylene protons decreasing as the temperature was raised. The simulation of dynamic spectra with increasing chemical exchange rates of the $\mathrm{OCH}_{2}$ protons gave results that disagreed with the experimental findings. Hence, the effect observed was merely a chemical shift change with temperature. The chemical shift difference of $\leq 7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ at +120 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ indicates that the free energy barrier to tetrazocine ring inversion in 3d is larger than $88 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ at this temperature.

From all reaction mixtures we isolated as a second product a triazole of type 4 . This compound arises by dequaternization (loss of isobutene) of the less stable 4-tert-butyl-1,2,4triazolium salt which is formed initially ( $c f$. ref. [2b]). Its structure follows from comparison with the data of close congeners (4: Ar in place of RCO) [7a]. Owing to traces of moisture, minute amounts of the respective $\alpha$-hydrazonoamides 6A may also be separated (e.g. 6Ac [7b]). Investigating the scope of the title reaction, we found that 2 cannot be replaced with aryl or sec-alkyl isocyanides, nor can RCO in $\mathbf{1}$ with an Ar ligand: experiments with phenyl, $p$-tolyl, isopropyl or cy-
clohexyl isocyanide gave the aforementioned amides, e.g. $\mathbf{6 B a}-\mathbf{c}$ [8], and reactions of $\mathbf{2}$ with diaryl nitrile imines produced the aryl analogues of $\mathbf{4}$ (including their quaternary precursors) and 2,4-diaryl-2H-1,2,3-triazoles [9].

Apart from representing a novel nitrile imine reaction, the process $\mathbf{1 / 2} \boldsymbol{\mathbf { 3 }}$ yields the first 1,2,5,6-tetrazocine derivative having cyclic unsaturation [10]; in addition, it provides the first example for constructing an eight-membered heterocycle from an isocyanide [11].

## Experimental

M.p.: Kofler microscope. - IR: Philips PU-9800 FTIR. UV/Vis: Philips PU-8730. - MS: Finnigan MAT 8400. NMR: Bruker AM-400 (400.1 and 100.6 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, resp.); theoretical ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were generated using Bruker programs Win-DAISY 4.0 (static spectra) and WinDynamics 1.0 (dynamic spectra) . - Starting materials 1a,c,e [12], 1b,d,f [13], 2 [14] as well as phenyl, $p$-tolyl [15], isopropyl and cyclohexyl isocyanides [16] were made according to (or by adopting) literature procedures. - The new compounds $\mathbf{3 a - f}, \mathbf{4 a - f}, \mathbf{6 A c}$ and $\mathbf{6 B a}-\mathbf{c}$ gave correct CHN microanalyses.

## Reaction of the Hydrazonoyl Chlorides 1a-f with tertButyl Isocyanide (2) (General Procedure)

To a stirred solution of 5 mmol of the respective hydrazonoyl chloride $\mathbf{1}$ and $0.42 \mathrm{~g}(5 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathbf{2}$ in 25 ml anhydrous benzene were added 2.5 ml (ca. 18 mmol ) triethylamine. The mixture was heated at reflux for 1 h , then cooled to room temperature and diluted with 25 ml petroleum ether. After standing for 2-

Table 1 Preparative, IR and MS data of $\mathbf{3 a}-\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{4 a}-\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{6 A c}$ and $\mathbf{6 B a}-\mathbf{c}$; UV/Vis data of $\mathbf{3 a}, \mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{4 a}, \mathbf{b}$

| Comp. | Yield <br> (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \left.M . p . .^{\mathrm{c}}\right) \\ & \left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \end{aligned}$ | recrystallized from | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FTIR } \left.^{\mathrm{e}}\right) \\ & v\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right) \\ & \text { NH }(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{6}) ; \mathrm{CO}(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{6}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left.\mathrm{UV} / \mathrm{Vis}^{\mathrm{g}}\right) \\ & \lambda_{\max }(\lg \varepsilon) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EIMS } \left.{ }^{\mathrm{h}}\right) \\ & m / z(\%) \\ & \mathrm{M}^{+} ; \mathbf{5}^{+} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3a | 37 | 204-207 | EtOH | 1673 | $\begin{aligned} & 246 \text { (4.12), } 302 \text { (4.13), } \\ & 350 \text { (4.36) } \end{aligned}$ | 486 (13); 187 (100) |
| 3b | 23 | 179-181 | MeOH | 1702 | $\begin{aligned} & 245 \text { (4.14), } 314 \text { (4.20), } \\ & 344 \text { (4.31) } \end{aligned}$ | 546 (6); 217 (100) |
| 3c | 41 | 193-195 | MeOH | 1674 |  | 514 (19); 201 (100) |
| 3d | 27 | 195-197 | MeOH | 1699 |  | 574 (8); 231 (100) |
| 3 e | 30 | 206-207 | EtOH | 1675 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 642/644/646 (6) }{ }^{\text {i }} \text {; } \\ & \text { 265/267 (54) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 f | 37 | 182-185 | EtOH | 1696 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 702/704/706 (2) í); } \\ & \text { 295/297 (49) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 4a | 29 | 231-236 | EtOH | 3258; 1704, 1642 | 238 (4.21), 355 (4.24) | 347 (100) |
| 4b | 55 | 130-135 | petroleum ether ${ }^{\text {d }}$ ) | 3252; 1728, 1683 | 233 (4.30), 358 (4.32) | 407 (100) |
| 4c | 35 | 183-187 | petroleum ether | 3276; 1704, 1674 |  | 375 (100) |
| $4 d^{\text {a }}$ ) | 57 | 131-138 | petroleum ether | 3212, 3169; 1738, 1672 |  | 435 (100) |
| 4e | 25 | 213-217 | petroleum ether | 3248; 1704, 1669 |  | 503/505/507 (92) ${ }^{\text {i }}$ ) |
| 4 f | 33 | 133-135 | petroleum ether | 3223; 1739, 1686 |  | 563/565/567 (100) ${ }^{\text {i }}$ ) |
| 6Ac ${ }^{\text {b }}$ ) | 8 | 97-101 | EtOH | 3322; 1700 |  | 275 (100) |
| 6Ba | 18 | 168-171 | EtOH | 1662 f) |  | 309 (100) |
| 6Bb | 7 | 100-102 | EtOH | 3251; 1656 |  | 261 (100) |
| 6 Bc | 11 | 126-127 | EtOH | 3296; 1686 |  | 395/397 (100) |

${ }^{\text {a }} 1: 1$ Mixture of $(E)$ - and $(Z)$-isomer $\left[\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{NH}): 11.45 / 12.78 \mathrm{ppm}\right.$; $c f$. Table 2: 4b]. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ ) $1: 1$ Mixture of $(E)$ - and $(Z)$-isomer $\left[\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{NH})\right.$ : $9.46 /$ $14.87 \mathrm{ppm}]$. c) $\mathbf{3 a - f}$ with decomp. d) $40-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. e) In KBr . f) NH not detectable. g) In EtOH. h) 70 eV ; ion source temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \mathbf{3 a}, 120 ; \mathbf{3 b}, 110 ; \mathbf{3 c}, 123 ; \mathbf{3 d}, 174 ; \mathbf{3 e}, 202 ; \mathbf{3 f}, 151 ; \mathbf{4 a}, 162 ; \mathbf{4 b}, 146 ; 4 \mathbf{c}, 145 ; 4 d, 139 ; 4 \mathbf{e}, 173 ; 4 \mathbf{4}, 173 ; \mathbf{6 A c}, 39 ; \mathbf{6 B a}, 131 ; \mathbf{6 B b}, 20 ;$ $\mathbf{6 B c}, 106 .{ }^{\text {i }}$ ) Rel. intensity with $\mathbf{3 e}, \mathbf{f}$ and $\mathbf{4 e}, \mathbf{f}$ refers to second peak.

Table $2{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data of $\mathbf{3 a}-\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{4 b}, \mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{6 B a}, \mathbf{c}$

| Comp. | $\begin{aligned} & \left.{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}\right) \\ & \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) ; J, N(\mathrm{~Hz}) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3a | $\begin{aligned} & 1.22(18 \mathrm{H}), 2.48(6 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \\ & 7.36-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.71(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 3b | $1.24(\mathrm{t}, J=7,6 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(18 \mathrm{H}), 4.20-4.33(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 7.13-7.17 (m, 2H), 7.32-7.36 (m, 4H), 7.62-7.64 (m, 4H) |
| 3c | $\begin{aligned} & 1.21(18 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(6 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(6 \mathrm{H}), 7.17 / 7.59\left(\mathrm{AA}^{\prime} \mathrm{BB}^{\prime}\right. \text {, } \\ & N=8,8 \mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 3d | $1.25(18 \mathrm{H}), 1.26\left(\mathrm{ABX}_{3}, 6 \mathrm{H}\right), 2.33(6 \mathrm{H}), 4.19-4.34$ $\left(A B X_{3}: \delta_{\mathrm{A}}=4.24, \delta_{\mathrm{B}}=4.29, J_{\mathrm{AB}}=-10.9, J_{\mathrm{AX}}=7.1\right.$, $\left.J_{\mathrm{BX}}=7.2,4 \mathrm{H}\right)^{\mathrm{b}}, 7.14 / 7.51\left(\mathrm{AA}^{\prime} \mathrm{BB}^{\prime}, N=8.6,8 \mathrm{H}\right)$ |
| 3 e | 1.20 (18H), 2.47 (6H), 7.48/7.56 ( $\left.\mathrm{AA}^{\prime} \mathrm{BB}^{\prime}, N=9,8 \mathrm{H}\right)$ |
| 3 f | $\begin{aligned} & 1.25(18 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{t}, J=7,6 \mathrm{H}), 4.22-4.31(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \\ & 7.45 / 7.51\left(\mathrm{AA}^{\prime} \mathrm{BB}^{\prime}, N=9,8 \mathrm{H}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 4b | $\begin{aligned} & 1.03(\mathrm{t}, J=7,3 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{t}, J=7,3 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{q}, J= \\ & 7,2 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{q}, J=7,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.05-7.54(4 \mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), \\ & \left.12.79(1 \mathrm{H})^{\mathrm{c}}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 4c | $2.32(3 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(3 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(3 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(3 \mathrm{H}), 7.12$ <br> (part of AA'BB', $N=8,2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.25-7.29 (overlapping $\mathrm{AA}^{\prime} \mathrm{BB}^{\prime}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.38 (part of $\mathrm{AA}^{\prime} \mathrm{BB}^{\prime}, N=8,2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 11.45 (1H) |
| 6Ba | $2.32(3 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(3 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(3 \mathrm{H}), 7.14 / 7.27\left(\mathrm{AA}^{\prime} \mathrm{BB}^{\prime}\right.$, $N=8,4 \mathrm{H}), 7.17 / 7.49\left(\mathrm{AA}^{\prime} \mathrm{BB}^{\prime}, N=8,4 \mathrm{H}\right), 11.38$ (1H), 14.73 (1H) |
| 6Bc | $1.2-2.0(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{t}, J=7,3 \mathrm{H}), 3.80-3.89(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{q}, J=7,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21 / 7.45\left(\mathrm{AA}^{\prime} \mathrm{BB}^{\prime}, N=9\right.$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 8.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8,1 \mathrm{H}), 14.63(1 \mathrm{H})$ |

## ${ }^{13}$ C NMR ${ }^{a}$ ) <br> $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$

25.9 (q), 29.7 [3C] (q), 57.4, 120.2 [2C] (d), 125.1 (d), 128.5 [2C] (d), 141.1, 142.9, 144.8, 196.4
14.1 (q), 29.8 [3C] (q), 57.4, 62.1 (t), 119.8 [2C] (d), 124.8
(d), 128.5 [2C] (d), 134.5, 142.9, 143.6, 162.2
20.8 (q), 25.9 (q), 29.7 [3C] (q), 57.3, 120.3 [2C] (d), 129.1
[2C] (d), 134.9, 140.7, 140.8, 145.1, 196.4
14.1 (q), 20.8 (q), 29.8 [3C] (q), 57.2, 62.0 (t), 119.9 [2C] (d), 129.0 [2C] (d), 134.1, 134.4, 140.7, 143.8, 162.3
25.8 (q), 29.7 [3C] (q), 57.5, 118.3, 121.6 [2C] (d), 131.6 [2C] (d), 141.3, 141.8, 144.5, 196.3
14.1 (q), 29.8 [3C] (q), 57.5, 62.3 (t), 117.8, 121.2 [2C] (d), 131.5 [2C] (d), 134.7, 141.8, 143.3, 162.0
13.6 (q), 14.3 (q), 61.3 (t), 62.0 (t), 115.0 [2C] (d), 116.0, 124.2 [2C] (d), 124.3 (d), 129.0 (d), 129.3 [4C] (d), 137.9, 141.5, 151.2, 154.2, 159.8, 162.2
20.8 (q), 21.2 (q), 24.6 (q), 26.7 (q), 115.4 [2C] (d), 123.6
[2C] (d), 126.6, 129.8 [2C] (d), 129.9 [2C] (d), 133.7, 135.7, 139.6, 139.9, 146.8, 158.8, 190.5, 193.8
20.88 (q), 20.91 (q), 26.0 (q), 115.7 [2C] (d), 120.8 [2C] (d), $125.6,129.5$ [2C] (d), 130.1 [2C] (d), 134.3, 134.6, 135.2, 139.4, 163.0, 199.2
14.2 (q), 24.6 (t), 25.5 [2C] (t), 32.6 [2C] (t), 47.5 (d), 61.3 (t), 116.9, 117.0 [2C] (d), 118.5, 132.3 [2C] (d), 141.3, 163.8, 166.2
${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) In $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$; unspecified signals are singlets. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ ) Analyzed by iteration. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ) Negligible singlet at $\delta 11.56$.

3 h the triethylammonium chloride was filtered off and the filtrate concentrated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in 5 ml ethanol and kept overnight at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to allow yellow crystals of the substituted tetrahydro-1,2,5,6-tetrazocine $\mathbf{3}$ to separate which were collected by filtration and washed with a small amount of cold ethanol. For data, see Table 1 and 2. The mother liquor of $\mathbf{3}$ was concentrated and the residue dissolved in petroleum ether; on standing at $0-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the substituted 1,2,4-triazole $\mathbf{4}$ crystallized (for data, see Table 1 and 2). The filtrate of $\mathbf{4}$ was chromatographed on silical gel (dichloromethane as eluent) to yield successively: a second crop of 3, traces of the substituted 2-hydrazonoamide $\mathbf{6 A}$ (for data of 6Ac, see Table 1) and some more triazole 4.

In the same fashion we treated: $\mathbf{1 b}$ with phenyl and cyclohexyl isocyanides, $\mathbf{1 c}$ with $p$-tolyl isocyanide, isopropyl and cyclohexyl isocyanides, and $\mathbf{1 f}$ with cyclohexyl isocyanide. Work-up by column chromatography as detailed above afforded the substituted 2-hydrazonoamides $\mathbf{6 B a}-\mathbf{c}$ (for data, see Table 1 and 2) and traces of diethyl 4,8-bis(cyclohexyl-imino)-1,5-di-(p-tolyl)-1,4,5,8-tetrahydro-1,2,5,6-tetrazocine-3,7-dicarboxylate (cyclohexyl analogue of 3d) which could not be obtained analytically pure [MS $\left(70 \mathrm{eV}, 154^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right), \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (\%): $626\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 3\right) .-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta / \mathrm{ppm}=1.1-1.8(\mathrm{~m}$, $20 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.29\left(\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{c}}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.26 /$ $4.36\left(2 \mathrm{dq}, J_{\mathrm{AB}}=11 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\mathrm{AX}, \mathrm{BX}}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.15 / 7.45\left(\mathrm{AA}^{\prime} \mathrm{BB}^{\prime}\right.$, $N=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H})$ ].

## Reaction of the 1,2,5,6-Tetrazocine 3d with Hydrochloric Acid

A mixture of $0.51 \mathrm{~g}(1 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathbf{3 d}$ and 10 ml 6 N HCl was heated at reflux for 30 min . The cooled solution was neutralized with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{ml}$ ) to give, after chromatography on silica gel (dichloromethane as eluent), 0.26 g ( $65 \%$ ) 3-oxo-2-[(p-tolyl)hydrazono]butyronitrile (5c) which was recrystallized from ethyl acetate, m.p. $172-174{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ref. [17] $171-174{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); FTIR (KBr): $v / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}=$ 3227, 2207, 1657; the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data were consistent with the literature [17].

## X-Ray Structure Determination of 3d

Data were measured using $\mathrm{Mo}-K_{\alpha}$ radiation on a Bruker SMART 1000 CCD diffractometer. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined anisotropically on $F^{2}$ using all reflections (program SHELXL-97 [18]). Hydrogen atoms were included using a riding model or as rigid methyl groups. Crystal data: $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}, M=574.72$, crystal size $0.25 \times$ $0.20 \times 0.18 \mathrm{~mm}$, monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / n, a=10.3570(10), b=$ 24.556(3), $c=12.8721(14) \AA, \beta=107.101(3)^{\circ}, U=3129 \AA^{3}$, $Z=4, \mu\left(\right.$ Мо $\left.K_{\alpha}\right)=0.08 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}, D_{\mathrm{x}}=1.220 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}, T=$ $-130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Reflections: total 21845 to $2 \theta 55^{\circ}$, unique 7177 ( $R_{\text {int }} 0.070$ ). Final $w R 20.113, R 10.046 ; 389$ parameters; $S$ 0.92 , max. $\Delta \rho 0.29 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$.

Full details (excluding structure factors) have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre under the reference number CCDC 143891. Copies may be obtained free of charge from the Director, CCDC, 12 Union Rd., GBCambridge CB2 1EZ (Telefax: Int.+ 1223/336033; E-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

## References

[1] Review: D. Moderhack, Synthesis 1985, 1083
[2] a) D. Moderhack, M. Lorke, Heterocycles 1987, 26, 1751; b) D. Moderhack, M. Lorke, L. Ernst, D. Schomburg, Chem. Ber. 1994, 127, 1633
[3] This pertains to the products that are formed by self-condensation of benzil monohydrazones and other $\alpha$-oxo hydrazones; early examples for the erroneous 1,2,5,6-tetrazocine structure are collected in ref. [4a], more recent cases can be located in: a) A. Aydin, H. Feuer, Chim. Acta Turc. 1979, 7, 121; Chem. Abstr. 1980, 93, 220680g; b) C. Glidewell, D. Lloyd, K. W. Lumbard, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1987, 501
[4] a) R. Pfleger, E. Garthe, K. Rauer, Chem. Ber. 1963, 96, 1827; b) M. Brufani, W. Fedeli, G. Giacomello, A. Vaciago, Chem. Ber. 1963, 96, 1840; c) R. A. Carboni, J. C. Kauer, J. E. Castle, H. E. Simmons, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 2618; d) R. N. Butler, D. M. Colleran, D. F. O'Shea, D. Cunningham, P. McArdle, A. M. Gillan, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1993, 2757
[5] The material proved resistent not only to $6 \mathrm{~N}-14 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}$ but also to oxidants such as potassium permanganate.
[6] A peak appearing at $m / z=201.0899$ can be assigned to $5 \mathbf{c}$ (exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ 201.0902); 4-acetyl1 -( $p$-tolyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole has the same composition, but this species can be ruled out because of absence of $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=173$ (loss of molecular nitrogen).
[7] a) D. Moderhack, Liebigs Ann. 1996, 777; b) Analogous amides have been obtained previously by ring cleavage of 1,2,3-triazolium ions: D. Moderhack, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1989, 1271
[8] Tetrazocines were absent, except for trace amounts observed in part when using cyclohexyl isocyanide (see Experimental).
[9] D. Moderhack, A. Daoud, unpublished results.
[10] Perhydro derivatives (1,2,5,6-tetrazocanes) are known, albeit in low number; for a monocyclic example, see: E. C. Taylor, H. M. L. Davies, J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 4415; for a tetrazocane unit fused to the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}$ bond of pyrazole rings, see: P. Cabildo, R. M. Claramunt, P. Cornago, J. L. Lavandera, D. Sanz, N. Jagerovic, M. L. Jimeno, J. Elguero, I. Gilles, J.-L. Aubagnac, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1996, 701, and references cited therein
[11] This is to be understood as incorporation of the isocyanide carbon atom into the eight-membered ring; hence, the reaction reported by B. M. Ebert, I. K. Ugi, M. Grosche, E. Herdtweck, W. A. Herrmann, Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 11887, is not directly relevant.
[12] W. Dieckmann, L. Platz, Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 1905, 38, 2986
[13] D. Anderson Bowack, A. Lapworth, J. Chem. Soc. 1905, 87 , 1854
[14] G. W. Gokel, R. P. Widera, W. Weber, Org. Synth. 1976, 55, 96
[15] R. Obrecht, R. Herrmann, I. Ugi, Synthesis 1985, 400
[16] I. Ugi, R. Meyr, Chem. Ber. 1960, 93, 239
[17] J. V. Jollimore, M. Vacheresse, K. Vaugham, D. L. Hooper, Can. J. Chem. 1996, 74, 254
[18] G.M. Sheldrick, "SHELXL-97, A Program for Refining Crystal Structures", University of Göttingen, Germany, 1997

Address for correspondence:
Prof. Dr. D. Moderhack
Institut für Pharmazeutische Chemie der Technischen Universität
Beethovenstr. 55
D-38106 Braunschweig
Fax: Internat. code (0) 531/3912799
e-Mail: [d.moderhack@tu-bs](mailto:d.moderhack@tu-bs)

